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1. INTRODUCTION

The Ethernet communication network is a broadcast, multiaccess system for local communication among locally distributed computing stations. This work presents the requirements and the results, through simulation analysis, for the interconnection of an Ethernet to a high-speed LAN. The asymmetric loading of the Ethernet, under various load conditions, which represent the connection to the network of a Gateway (GW) is presented, and a comparison to the well analyzed performance of the Ethernet in the literature is also attempted.

The high-speed LANs under consideration have the following characteristics:

1. They support a wide variety of applications (e.g., office environment, universities, hospitals and industrial research laboratories), which implies that the system offers services both to stress type applications (such as telephony, video-telephony and skill picture) and to burst type applications (such as low, medium and high speed data).

2. The transmission rate is higher than 100 Mbit/s.

The simulation is based on the LIGN (Local Integrated Optical Network) network [4], which is a high speed LAN at N Bites using a hybrid access protocol. The LIGN supports both circuit and packet traffic. The Gateway (GW) between the Ethernet and the LIGN supports only packet traffic, directed from the LIGN to the Ethernet and vice versa. The GW is a specially designed node of LIGN. This node of the LIGN is presented as the interface to the Ethernet as a "heavy" user, since the LIGN speed is much higher of the Ethernet speed and also the packet generation rate of the "heavy" user is greater than the packet generation rate of each of the other Ethernet users, due to the higher traffic supported by the LIGN.

The analysis of the performance of the Ethernet, under the previously mentioned conditions, is carried out through simulation techniques by producing the throughput-delay characteristics. The conditions under which the Ethernet is operating effectively are also discussed.

Section 3 presents the simulation model used for the analysis of the system. Section 4 includes the obtained numerical results of the simulation and a brief discussion. Finally, in section 5 the discussion of the Ethernet performance is addressed under the asymmetric loading.

2. THE SIMULATION MODEL

The simulation program, written in Pascal and developed on a DEC VAX-11/780 personal computer, uses the technique of discrete event simulation [5].

The traffic source used, consists of a finite number of B connected users, communicating under the Ethernet, who collectively form an independent Poisson source with an aggregate mean packet generation rate of A packets per second. One of these users will be the "heavy" user, with a higher packet generation rate, representing the Gateway between LIGN and Ethernet. The traffic source is distributed to the users in such a way, that the "heavy" user arrival rate is higher than the arrival rate of any other user by a factor of u.

In the simulation model, each user has a buffer that can hold at most P packets, except the "heavy" user whose buffer can hold P packets. When a packet is generated by a user, it enters the user queue, under the condition the buffer is not full, and it is retained there until it is successfully transmitted. If the user's buffer is full the packet is rejected.

A differentiation is introduced in the simulation model, between data arrived to a node and packets that this node transmits to the Ethernet. The former is referred as message coming to the node, which is a random variable exponentially distributed, with a mean length of 1 bytes per message. The procedure of transform-
ing messages into proper packets for transmission. This includes segmentation of the message and addressing. Segmentation takes place when the message length is greater than the maximum packet size (including the required control information) permitted for an Ethernet packet, which is 1518 bytes. The addressing includes the addition of a source address field for the sending node, a destination address field for the user for which the packet is directed to, a type field, and a frame check sequence for packet error detection. These fields have a total length of 48 bytes.

In the simulation program, each node is represented by a record, whose fields include the node number, the time at which this node will attempt to transmit the first packet of its buffer, two pointers (described in detail later), and the node statistics including the packets already transmitted, the delay of these packets, the lost packets due to inadequate buffer space and the packets rejected due to 16 consecutively failed attempts of each packet to be transmitted. The K records, one for each node, are linked together with the pointers mentioned before, in a manner that their transmission times have an increasing order. The result is called a linked ring, for which the head component is, at any time instant, the node who will first attempt to transmit a packet.

With the carrier detection feature, a given station will attempt to transmit only when it senses the channel idle. A station experiences a collision only during the initial part of its transmission attempt, as so called "collision window", thus the collision occurs before the transmitted signal has the time to propagate to all other nodes of the Ethernet channel. The time required for a node to acquire the channel is thus based on the round-trip propagation time of the physical channel.

The simulation program examines the transmission times of the two first records in the linked ring. If their difference is greater than the round-trip propagation time, the first user will successfully transmit his packet, otherwise a collision will occur. In the former case the statistics of the user who has been successfully transmitting are calculated, then a new transmission time for his record is scheduled, taking into account the Poisson arrival process and his buffer state and this record is placed in a new position in the ring. In the latter case, the new transmission times are scheduled for the users who participated in the collision, according to the retransmission algorithm described in the Ethernet version 2.0 [15], as the binary exponential backoff. Then the records of the users who participated in the collision are placed in new positions in the ring, in accordance to their new transmission times. The users for which the scheduled transmission times are contained inside the transmission epoch of the packet under transmission, are those that currently sense the channel busy; for these users, the transmission time is rescheduled at the end of the current transmission and their records are placed in the proper positions in the ring.

The parameters of the network, which are the end-to-end propagation time, the jam size, the interframe spacing, the backoff limit, the attempt limit, the slot time and the collision window, are described in the Ethernet, version 2.0.

3. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation results are presented in this section, based on the transmission of at least 200 packets from each Ethernet user, for reliabi-
Fig. 2: Performance of the "heavy" user, N=10.

Fig. 3: Performance of the "heavy" user, N=50.

Where the symbols in Figs. 1-3 are:
- ★ for \( \mu = 1 \) and \( 15000 \),
- ● for \( \mu = 1 \) and \( 1000 \),
- ▲ for \( \mu = 1 \) and \( 1000 \),
- ○ for \( \mu = 10 \) and \( 1000 \),
- ▲ for \( \mu = 10 \) and \( 10000 \),
- ★ for \( \mu = 10 \) and \( 1000 \).

The following subcases are distinguished:
1) For the performance of the network.
   In Fig. 1 the throughput-delay characteristics of the network for \( N=10 \) is depicted. In this figure, \( \mu \) takes the values 1 and 10 and \( \lambda \) takes the values 500, 1000 and 2000. It is observed:
   - Throughput is higher and delay is lower in the asymmetric case, when 10 nodes are connected to the Ethernet, with \( N=50 \), the differences between symmetric and asymmetric loading are almost invisible.
   - There is an improvement in the performance of the Ethernet (in terms of greater achieved throughput and lower delay), when the mean message length increases. This difference is more sensible when 50 users are connected to the Ethernet.
   - Delay is much higher when \( N=50 \) than the case where \( N=10 \).
2) For the performance of the "heavy" user.
   In Figures 2 and 3 the throughput-delay curves of the "heavy" user are depicted, for \( N=10 \) and \( N=50 \), respectively. It is observed:
   - The "heavy" user throughput is much higher

Fig. 4: "Mean light user" performance, N=10.
Fig. 5: "Mean light user" performance, N=50.

- The "heavy" user delay is extremely high when 50 users are connected to the Ethernet.
- In a system of 50 interconnected users, with λ (the total mean message generation rate) increasing up to 0.7, the "heavy" user throughput is also increasing up to its maximum value 0.12, in the asymmetric loading; for higher values of λ, the throughput decreases approaching the value of 0.07, which is the maximum achieved throughput of any user in the case that the load is symmetrically distributed to the users. This happens because, for high λ, any user, "heavy" or "light", has at any time instant packets to transmit in his buffer and all users access the channel in the same way, resulting in an almost similar throughput for all users. A similar behaviour for the "heavy" user throughput is not observed in the case of 10 interconnected nodes, because λ is not so high in order to be able to reach such a situation.
- The delay is increasing when the mean message length increases.

3) For the performance of the mean "light" users in Figures 4 and 5 the throughput-delay curves of the mean "light" user are depicted for N=10 and N=50, respectively. It is observed:
- The mean "light" user throughput is higher for the symmetrically loaded network. The difference is obviously larger in the case of 10 users,
- The mean "light" user delay is lower in the asymmetric loading for 10 connected users, but the contrary happens in the case that N=50.
- The mean "light" user performance is greater (in terms of greater throughput and lower delay) as the mean message length increases.
- For 50 users connected under the Ethernet, the mean message length affects the mean "light" user more than w does: the contrary happens for 10 Ethernet nodes.

B) Comparison Between Various Asymmetric Situations.

Where the symbols in Figs. 6,7 are:
* is for w=1,
+ is for w=2,
# is for w=5,
o is for w=10.

In this part, the differences in performance between various asymmetric cases (with w taking the values 1, 3, 5 and 10) is presented. In Fig.7, the performance of the "heavy" user is predicted for N=50 and 1=1000 bytes; in Fig.6, the performance of the "light" user is predicted for N=10 and 1=1000 bytes. The observations presented following are produced not only considering the two cases previously mentioned, but also the cases with all possible combinations for N equals 10 and 50 and 1 equals 500, 1000 and 2000.

The following subcases are observed:

Fig.6: Throughput-Delay tradeoffs of the "heavy" user for N=50 and 1=1000.
4. CONCLUSION

A simulation analysis of the throughput-delay characteristics in a Gateway network has been performed, under asymmetrically distributed load conditions. The introduction of the concept of the Gateway between the Ethernet and a high-speed Local Area Network gives rise to these asymmetric conditions.

The Gateway, represented by the "heavy" user in the simulation program, affects the Ethernet performance in several ways, depending on the system characteristics, the influence of the Gateway to the Ethernet network is decreasing as the number of nodes connected to the network increases.

The affection of the Gateway to the Ethernet is concentrated in the fact that the GTU increases the delay of the network packets. Regarding the stability of the network as it is observed from the throughput-delay curve, the influence of the GTU, is of no considerable importance.
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Fig.7: Throughput-delay tradeoffs for the "mean lighter" user 8=10, 1=2000.